

The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Research in Classroom Learning

## The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Research in Classroom Learning

The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Research in Classroom Learning is a comprehensive psycholinguistic approach to the issue of instructed language learning that is uniquely theoretical, methodological, empirical, pedagogical, and curricular. Bringing together empirical studies with theoretical underpinnings, this handbook focuses on conceptual replications/extensions of, and new research on, classroom learning or Instructed SLA (ISLA). In chapters from leading experts, the Handbook reports on the tenets of several models that have postulated the roles of cognitive processes in the L2 learning process. It also covers two major methodological data-elicitation procedures to be employed in addressing learner cognitive processes (think-aloud protocols and eye-tracking). With a dedicated interest in the role of this research in pedagogical ramifications, this handbook strives for deeper understanding of how L2 learners process L2 data in instructional settings.

**Ronald P. Leow** is Professor of Applied Linguistics and Director of Spanish Language Instruction in the Department of Spanish and Portuguese at Georgetown University, USA.

#### **Routledge Handbooks in Applied Linguistics**

Routledge Handbooks in Applied Linguistics provide comprehensive overviews of the key topics in applied linguistics. All entries for the handbooks are specially commissioned and written by leading scholars in the field. Clear, accessible and carefully edited, Routledge Handbooks in Applied Linguistics are the ideal resource for both advanced undergraduates and postgraduate students.

#### The Routledge Handbook of English as a Lingua Franca Edited by Jennifer Jenkins, Will Baker and Martin Dewey

The Routledge Handbook of Language and Superdiversity Edited by Angela Creese and Adrian Blackledge

#### The Routledge Handbook of Language Revitalization Edited by Leanne Hinton, Leena Huss and Gerald Roche

## The Routledge Handbook of Sociocultural Theory and Second Language Development

Edited by James P. Lantolf and Matthew E. Poehner with Merrill Swain

#### The Routledge Handbook of Study Abroad Research and Practice Edited by Cristina Sanz and Alfonso Morales-Front

## The Routledge Handbook of Teaching English to Young Learners Edited by Sue Garton and Fiona Copland

## The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Research in Classroom Learning Edited by Ronald P. Leow

#### The Routledge Handbook of Language in Conflict Edited by Matthew Evans, Lesley Jeffries and Jim O'Driscoll

For a full list of titles in this series, please visit www.routledge.com/series/RHAL.

# The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Research in Classroom Learning

Edited by Ronald P. Leow



First published 2019

by Routledge

52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017

and by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2019 Taylor & Francis

The right of Ronald P. Leow to be identified as the author of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*Trademark notice*: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Leow, Ronald P. (Ronald Philip), 1954-editor.

Title: The Routledge handbook of second language research in classroom learning / [edited by Ronald P. Leow].

Description: New York, NY: Routledge, 2019. | Series: Routledge handbooks in applied linguistics | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018049228 | ISBN 9781138056923 (hardback) | ISBN 9781315165080 (ebk) Subjects: LCSH: Second language acquisition. | Language and languages—Study and teaching.

Classification: LCC P118.2 .R686 2019 | DDC 418.0071—dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018049228

ISBN: 978-1-138-05692-3 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-315-16508-0 (ebk)

Typeset in Times New Roman by Apex CoVantage, LLC

## **Contents**

| Lis | t of Figures  | ix         |
|-----|---|------------|
|     | t of Tables   | xi         |
| Lis | t of Contributors   | xv         |
| 1   | Classroom Learning: Of Processing and Processes Ronald P. Leow        | 1          |
| PAF | RT I  |            |
|     | eoretical Foundations   | 13         |
|     |   |            |
| 2   | Theoretical Underpinnings and Cognitive Processes                     |            |
|     | in Instructed SLA   | 15         |
|     | Ronald P. Leow  |            |
| DΔI | RT II   |            |
|     | search Methodology  | 29         |
|     |   |            |
| 3   | Verbal Reports in Instructed SLA Research: Opportunities, Challenges, |            |
|     | and Limitations   | 31         |
|     | Melissa A. Bowles   |            |
|     |   |            |
| 4   | Investigating Instructed Second Language Acquisition Using L2         |            |
|     | Learners' Eye-Tracking Data   | 44         |
|     | Aline Godfroid  |            |
| PAF | RT III  |            |
| Em  | pirical Studies in ISLA   | 59         |
|     |   |            |
| Tes | sting Different Stages of the L2 Learning Process                     | 60         |
| _   |   |            |
| 5   | Levels of Intake: A Preliminary Look at Intake and Eye Fixation       | <i>C</i> 1 |
|     | Measures vis-à-vis Type of Linguistic Item                            | 61         |
|     | Anne Thinglum   |            |
| 6   | Levels of Awareness, Depth of Processing, and the Learning of L2      |            |
| J   | Case Markings   | 76         |
|     | John Rogers   | , 0        |
|     | O   | V          |

| 7   | Exploring the Relationships Between Lexical Prior Knowledge and Depth of Processing During the Intake Processing Stage: An Online Investigation of L2 Vocabulary Learning  Anne Thinglum, Ellen J. Serafini, and Ronald P. Leow | 89  |
|-----|---|---|
| 8   | The Role of Prior Knowledge in Depth of Processing During Written Production: A Preliminary Investigation  Joara Martin Bergsleithner   | 104   |
|     | Appendix A: Explicit Instruction  | 114   |
|     | Appendix B  | 116   |
|     |   | 117   |
|     | Appendix D: Pretest and Post-test, Using Same Task and Test   | 118   |
| Fee | edback  | 119   |
| 9   | Computerized Type of Feedback and Depth of Processing During a Computerized Problem-Solving Task <i>Hui-Chen Hsieh</i>  | 120   |
|     | Appendix A<br>Appendix B  | 134<br>136  |
| 10  | Type of Feedback and Assessment Task Modality: The Role of Depth of Processing <i>Nina Moreno</i>   | 138   |
|     | Appendix A: [+EF] Condition Appendix B: [-EF] Condition   | 154<br>155  |
| 11  | Recasts in SCMC: Replicating and Extending Gurzynski-Weiss et al. (2016) <i>Chrissy Bistline-Bonilla, Gabriela DeRobles, and Yiran Xu</i>   | 156   |
| 12  | What Do Learners Notice While Processing Written Corrective Feedback?: A Look at Depth of Processing Via Written Languaging Lourdes Cerezo, Rosa M. Manchón, and Florentina Nicolás-Conesa                                      | 171   |
| 13  | Written Corrective Feedback in Compositions and the Role of Depth of Processing <i>Allison Caras</i>  | 186   |
| 14  | Reactivity, Language of Think-Aloud Protocol, and Depth of Processing in the Processing of Reformulated Feedback Sergio Adrada-Rafael and Marisa Filgueras-Gómez  | 199   |
|     | Appendix A  | 211   |
|     | 8 Fee 9 10 11 12 13   | Depth of Processing During the Intake Processing Stage: An Online Investigation of L2 Vocabulary Learning  Anne Thinglum, Ellen J. Serafini, and Ronald P. Leow  8 The Role of Prior Knowledge in Depth of Processing During Written Production: A Preliminary Investigation  Joara Martin Bergsleithner  Appendix A: Explicit Instruction  Appendix B: Appendix C: Narrative Instruction  Appendix D: Pretest and Post-test, Using Same Task and Test  Feedback  9 Computerized Type of Feedback and Depth of Processing During a Computerized Problem-Solving Task  Hui-Chen Hsieh  Appendix A  Appendix B  10 Type of Feedback and Assessment Task Modality: The Role of Depth of Processing  Nina Moreno  Appendix A: [+EF] Condition  Appendix B: [-EF] Condition  11 Recasts in SCMC: Replicating and Extending Gurzynski-Weiss et al. (2016)  Chrissy Bistline-Bonilla, Gabriela DeRobles, and Yiran Xu  12 What Do Learners Notice While Processing Written Corrective Feedback?: A Look at Depth of Processing Via Written Languaging  Lourdes Cerezo, Rosa M. Manchón, and Florentina Nicolás-Conesa  13 Written Corrective Feedback in Compositions and the Role of Depth of Processing  Allison Caras  14 Reactivity, Language of Think-Aloud Protocol, and Depth of Processing in the Processing of Reformulated Feedback  Sergio Adrada-Rafael and Marisa Filgueras-Gómez |

| 15  | Learners' Engagement With Indirect Written Corrective Feedback:<br>Depth of Processing and Self-Correction<br>Eun Sung Park and Ok Yeon Kim                 | 212        |
|-----|---|------------|
| 16  | Teacher and Student Perspectives of LREs in a Year 1 Spanish Class: A Stimulated Recall Study Shawn Loewen  | 227        |
| Vo  | cabulary Learning   | 241        |
| 17  | Sentence-Level Processing for Content and New L2 Words: Where Does Deeper Processing Go?  Joe Barcroft  | 242        |
|     | Appendix: Examples of Sentences and Comprehension Checks From the Learning Phase  | 256        |
| 18  | Test-Enhanced Learning in L2 Spanish Lexical Development: Issues of Depth of Processing and Think-Aloud Reactivity <i>Almitra Medina</i>                    | 258        |
| 19  | Effects of Crosslinguistic Similarity, Complexity, and Depth of Processing on Vocabulary Recall Scott Jarvis, Torri Raines, Paula Schaefer, and Olga Sormaz | 273        |
| 20  | Cognitive Load, Attention, and Incidental Vocabulary Learning: An Eye-Tracking Study  Haemoon Lee and Hyunhye Choi  | 286        |
|     | Appendix A: A Sample Reading Passage<br>Appendix B: Test Instrument (Sample Questions)  | 300<br>301 |
| 21  | Morphological Processing of Citation and Non-citation Inflected Words<br>by Second Language Learners<br><i>Kira Gor and Anna Chrabaszcz</i>                 | 302        |
| Тех | ktual Enhancement   | 316        |
| 22  | Textual Enhancement, Type of Linguistic Item, and L2 Development: A Depth of Processing Perspective Ronald P. Leow, Angela Donate, and Hortensia Gutiérrez  | 317        |
| 23  | Enhancement, Attention, and Awareness: An Eye-Tracking Study of English Syntax  Bimali Indrarathne  | 331        |

| Ins                    | Instruction/CALL  |     |
|------------------------|---|-----|
| 24                     | Explicit Instruction, Prior Knowledge, Depth of Processing, and Grammatical Knowledge Development of Advanced EFL Learners: The Case of the English Subjunctive Mood <i>Fei Li</i>  | 347 |
| 25                     | The Effects of Implicit Positive and Negative Feedback on Processing Subsequent Linguistic Target Items: An Eye-Tracking Study <i>James F. Lee and Stephen Doherty</i>  | 361 |
| 26                     | Processing Instruction, Guided Induction, and L2 Development <i>Alexandra Martin, Mina Niu, and Ronald P. Leow</i>  | 375 |
| 27                     | Computer-Assisted Guided Induction and Deductive Instruction on the Development of Complex Chinese <i>ba</i> Structures: Extending Cerezo et al. (2016) <i>Jingyuan Zhuang</i>  | 391 |
| 28                     | Noticeability of Corrective Feedback in Three-Dimensional Virtual Environments and Face-To-Face Classroom Contexts<br>Eva Kartchava and Hossein Nassaji   | 407 |
| Individual Differences |   |     |
| 29                     | Aptitude-Treatment Interactions in Depth of Processing: Individual Differences and Prior Linguistics Coursework Predict Learners' Approaches to Computer-Mediated Language Learning Activities Rebecca Sachs and Kimi Nakatsukasa | 422 |
| 30                     | Language Aptitude Profiles and the Effectiveness of Implicit and Explicit Corrective Feedback  Gisela Granena and Yucel Yilmaz  | 438 |
| 31                     | Individual Differences in Working Memory and Instructed SLA Nuria Sagarra   | 452 |
| 32                     | Examining the Relationships Among Attentional Allocation, Working Memory, and Second Language Development: An Eye-Tracking Study <i>Bernard I. Issa</i>   | 464 |
|                        | रा ।v<br>Curricular/Pedagogical Perspective of ISLA   | 481 |
| 33                     | From SLA > ISLA > ILL: A Curricular/Pedagogical Perspective Ronald P. Leow  | 483 |
| Ind                    | 'ex   | 492 |

# **Figures**

| 2.1  | Stages of the L2 learning process in ISLA                                     | 16  |  |  |
|------|---|-----|--|--|
| 2.2  | Model of the L2 learning process in instructed SLA                            |     |  |  |
| 4.1  | Experimental set-up for recording eye movements                               | 45  |  |  |
| 4.2  | English native speaker reading data for an extract from the novel A Thousand  |     |  |  |
|      | Splendid Suns   | 46  |  |  |
| 8.1  | Total low DoP   | 109 |  |  |
| 8.2  | Total medium DoP  | 109 |  |  |
| 8.3  | Total high DoP  | 110 |  |  |
| 9.1  | Illustration of the task in the implicit and explicit feedback conditions     | 125 |  |  |
| 11.1 | Average production test scores of the three types of linguistic item          |     |  |  |
|      | and two types of recast over time   | 163 |  |  |
| 11.2 | Estimated marginal means of production test scores of enhanced items          | 163 |  |  |
| 11.3 | Estimated marginal means of production test scores on unenhanced items        | 163 |  |  |
| 11.4 | Average recognition test scores of the three types of linguistic item and two |     |  |  |
|      | types of recast over time   | 164 |  |  |
| 11.5 | Estimated marginal means of recognition test scores of enhanced items         | 165 |  |  |
| 11.6 | Estimated marginal means of recognition test scores of unenhanced items       | 165 |  |  |
| 11.7 | Low level of awareness in relation to learners' performances on three         |     |  |  |
|      | assessments   | 166 |  |  |
| 11.8 | High level of awareness in relation to learners' performances on three        |     |  |  |
|      | assessments   | 166 |  |  |
| 12.1 | Overview of data collection sequence  | 176 |  |  |
| 12.2 | Depth of processing levels: Descriptors                                       | 177 |  |  |
| 15.1 | Sample writing with self-correction   | 217 |  |  |
| 17.1 | Type of processing–resource allocation (TOPRA) model                          | 243 |  |  |
| 17.2 | The effect of condition on productive L2 cued recall over time                | 251 |  |  |
| 17.3 | Results based on condition and measure on L2 cued recall                      | 251 |  |  |
| 18.1 | The mean L2 lexical production score by time for each verbalization group     | 267 |  |  |
| 20.1 | Data collection procedure   | 291 |  |  |
| 21.1 | Participants' mean error rate in the auditory lexical decision task           | 309 |  |  |
| 21.2 | Participants' mean reaction times in the auditory lexical decision task       | 310 |  |  |
| 23.1 | Experimental design   | 336 |  |  |
| 23.2 | Example of the unenhanced input   | 337 |  |  |
| 23.3 | Example of the enhanced input   | 338 |  |  |
| 24.1 | Sample puzzle   | 351 |  |  |
| 25.1 | Sequence and timing of slides   | 364 |  |  |
| 25.2 | Time to first fixation (ms) on passive verbs by feedback type                 | 368 |  |  |
|      |   |     |  |  |

#### Figures

| 25.3 | First fixation duration (ms) on passive verbs by feedback type                     | 369 |
|------|--|-----|
| 25.4 | First pass time (ms) on passive verbs by feedback type                             | 369 |
| 25.5 | Second pass time (ms) on passive verbs by feedback type                            | 370 |
| 26.1 | Screenshot of the guided induction videogame                                       | 379 |
| 26.2 | Mean scores of the interpretation test for GI group and PI group at pretest,       |     |
|      | immediate posttest, and delayed posttest   | 383 |
| 26.3 | Mean scores of the production-translation test for GI group and PI group           |     |
|      | at pretest, immediate posttest, and delayed posttest                               | 384 |
| 27.1 | Sample treatment item in GI e-tutor (on the left) and in DI e-tutor (on the right) | 397 |
| 27.2 | Instruction and sample test item in controlled oral production task (on the left)  |     |
|      | and in grammaticality judgment task (on the right)                                 | 399 |
| 27.3 | Controlled oral production accuracy by group (old items only)                      | 402 |
| 27.4 | Grammaticality judgment accuracy by group (old items only)                         | 403 |
| 32.1 | Sample trial from the experimental group's phase 2 practice materials              | 471 |
| 32.2 | Sample trial from the SymSpan  | 472 |
| 33.1 | SLA > ISLA > ILL   | 490 |

## **Tables**

| 1.1   | Operationalization of depth of processing (DoP): Lexical items                 | 2   |  |
|---|--|-----|--|
| 1.2   | Operationalization of depth of processing (DoP): Grammatical items             | 2   |  |
| 2.1 Cognitive processes and variables postulated to play important roles in |  |     |  |
|   | the L2 learning process  | 25  |  |
| 4.1   | Contributions of eye-tracking methodology to the study of ISLA                 | 51  |  |
| 5.1   | Intake characteristics   | 62  |  |
| 5.2   | Experimental conditions features   | 64  |  |
| 5.3   | Descriptive statistics for RQ1   | 66  |  |
| 5.4   | ANOVA results of RQ1   | 67  |  |
| 5.5   | Homogenous subsets from Scheffé post hoc on grammatical level of intake        |     |  |
|   | and recognition posttest   | 67  |  |
| 5.6   | Homogenous subsets from Scheffé post hoc on lexical level of intake and        |     |  |
|   | recognition posttest   | 67  |  |
| 5.7   | Descriptive statistics for RQ2   | 68  |  |
| 5.8   | ANOVA results of RQ2   | 68  |  |
| 5.9   | Descriptive statistics for fixation measures and recognition of a              |     |  |
|   | grammatical form   | 69  |  |
| 5.10  | Correlations between fixation measures and recognition of a grammatical form   | 69  |  |
|   | Descriptive statistics for fixation measures and recognition of a lexical form | 69  |  |
| 5.12  | Results of generalized linear mixed model for Lexical Noticed Intake Group     | 70  |  |
| 5.13  | Descriptive statistics and summary results for paired-samples t-tests for      |     |  |
|   | difference between grammatical and lexical recognition                         | 71  |  |
| 6.1   | Characteristics and examples of sentences used in the SPR task                 | 79  |  |
| 6.2   | Sample of CQs used in the training phase                                       | 80  |  |
| 6.3   | Performance on the sub-components of the MCC task                              | 82  |  |
| 6.4   | Results for the best-fitting logit mixed-effects model examining performance   |     |  |
|   | on the MCC task  | 82  |  |
| 6.5   | Reaction times for all (+ Understand and + Notice) participants on SPR task    | 83  |  |
| 7.1   | Target lexical items, translations, and accepted synonyms                      | 93  |  |
| 7.2   | Levels of depth of processing (DoP)  | 95  |  |
| 7.3   | Descriptive statistics for depth of processing (DoP) variables                 |     |  |
|   | (6) by experimental group (±PK)  | 96  |  |
| 7.4   | Descriptive statistics for performance (reported in %) by experimental group   | 97  |  |
| 7.5   | Correlation matrix (Spearman's ρ) for DoP variables (6) and mean scores on     |     |  |
|   | all immediate performance measures (5) across groups                           | 98  |  |
| 8.1   | Descriptive statistics of DoP levels (maximum = 39)                            | 109 |  |
| 8.2   | Descriptive statistics for the three tests (maximum = 39)                      | 109 |  |

| 8.3   | Pearson's correlations for DoP and performances on the immediate                       |     |
|-------|--|-----|
|       | and delayed posttests  | 110 |
| 9.1   | Summary of experimental conditions   | 126 |
| 9.2   | Number of instances of DoP per level and per type of feedback                          | 128 |
| 9.3   | Chi-square tests   | 128 |
| 9.4   | Symmetric measures   | 128 |
| 9.5   | DoP by feedback cross-tabulation   | 129 |
| 10.1  | Oral production: Repeated measures ANOVA for feedback and time                         | 146 |
| 10.2  | Written production: Repeated measures ANOVA for feedback and time                      | 146 |
| 10.3  | Recognition: Repeated measures ANOVA for feedback and time                             | 146 |
| 10.4  | Mean scores and standard deviations for time by type of feedback                       | 146 |
| 10.5  | Oral production: Paired samples correlations by time on feedback conditions            | 147 |
| 10.6  | Written production: Paired samples correlations by time on feedback conditions         | 147 |
| 10.7  | Recognition: Paired samples correlations by time on feedback conditions                | 147 |
| 10.8  | Levels of DoP for [–EF] group  | 148 |
| 10.9  | Levels of DoP for [+EF] group  | 148 |
| 11.1  | Cross-tabulation of type of recast and reported level of awareness                     | 161 |
| 11.2  | Cross-tabulation of type of linguistic item and reported level of awareness            | 161 |
| 11.3  | Cross-tabulation of type of linguistic item, type of recast, and reported              |     |
|       | level of awareness   | 162 |
| 11.4  | Effect sizes of learning and retention of the production test                          | 163 |
| 11.5  | Effect sizes of learning and retention of the recognition test                         | 165 |
| 12.1  | Summary of studies coding DoP/levels of awareness                                      | 172 |
| 12.2  | Kruskal–Wallis test of initial proficiency and errors in initial essays                | 175 |
| 12.3  | Kruskal–Wallis Test. Noticing of percentage of errors across groups                    | 179 |
| 12.4  | Mann-Whitney U Tests. Comparison of participants' noticed errors                       |     |
|       | across groups  | 179 |
| 12.5  | Number and percentage of errors made and noticed per broad error category              | 179 |
| 12.6  | Descriptive statistics and Kruskal Wallis test on the revised text                     | 180 |
| 12.7  | Mann–Whitney U Tests. Comparison of feedback groups' correction of                     |     |
|       | errors in the revised task   | 180 |
| 12.8  | Mann Whitney U tests. Comparison of depth of processing between feedback               |     |
|       | groups   | 181 |
| 12.9  | Indirect group. Spearman rank correlations between depth of processing                 | 404 |
|       | and linguistic accuracy in revised texts   | 181 |
| 12.10 | Direct group. Spearman rank correlations between depth of processing                   | 400 |
| 10.1  | and linguistic accuracy in revised texts   | 182 |
| 13.1  | Coding scheme for depth of processing of ser versus estar and the preterit             | 100 |
| 100   | versus imperfect   | 190 |
| 13.2  | Examples of participants' TA protocols on <i>ser</i> versus <i>estar</i> per DoP level | 191 |
| 13.3  | Examples of participants' TA protocols on the <i>preterit</i> versus imperfect per     | 100 |
| 1 4 1 | DoP level  | 192 |
| 14.1  | Instances and percentages of processing per level across categories                    | 206 |
| 15.1  | Coding scheme  | 218 |
| 15.2  | Learners' self-correction of errors  | 219 |
| 15.3  | Learners' self-correction by error type  | 219 |
| 15.4  | Levels of processing   | 220 |
| 15.5  | Levels of processing for underlined errors   | 221 |

| 16.1 | Students' stimulated recall comments   | 236 |
|------|--|-----|
| 16.2 | Type of student comment by type of LRE   | 236 |
| 16.3 | Type of student comment by type of linguistic area                             | 236 |
| 16.4 | Type of student comment by type of student participation                       | 237 |
| 17.1 | Means based on condition   | 250 |
| 17.2 | Results based on condition by time   | 250 |
| 17.3 | Results based on condition by measure  | 250 |
| 17.4 | Means based on condition (Experiment 2)  | 252 |
| 17.5 | Results based on condition by time   | 252 |
| 17.6 | Results based on condition by measure  | 252 |
| 18.1 | Operationalization of depth of processing                                      | 265 |
| 18.2 | ANCOVA results of verbalization group and learning condition (with             |     |
|      | oral proficiency controlled for) on L2 lexical production                      | 266 |
| 18.3 | L2 lexical mean and standard deviation across time for each learning           |     |
|      | condition  | 267 |
| 18.4 | Number (and proportion) of participants at each depth of processing range      |     |
|      | per learning condition   | 268 |
| 19.1 | Taxonomy of word-related factors examined in the present study                 | 276 |
| 19.2 | Characteristics of the 16 target words (Version 4)                             | 277 |
| 19.3 | Results aggregated by word forms independent of their meanings                 | 279 |
| 19.4 | Results aggregated by meanings independent of their word forms                 | 279 |
| 19.5 | Distribution of recall by factor   | 280 |
| 19.6 | Overall results of the binomial logistic regression                            | 280 |
| 19.7 | Accuracy rates by word feature   | 280 |
| 19.8 | Deeper processing versus simple memorization                                   | 281 |
| 19.9 | Success rate according to DoP  | 281 |
| 20.1 | Scores of the pretest, comprehension, and posttests                            | 292 |
| 20.2 | Paired t-tests of pre- and posttests   | 293 |
| 20.3 | ANCOVA of Posttest 1 with pretest and comprehension as covariates              | 293 |
| 20.4 | ANCOVA of Posttest 2 with pretest and comprehension as covariates              | 293 |
| 20.5 | Pearson correlations among pretest, comprehension, and posttests               | 294 |
| 20.6 | Reading time and eye fixation per word in 12 texts                             | 295 |
| 20.7 | Eye fixation on seven target words   | 295 |
| 21.1 | L2 participants' experience of learning Russian as a foreign language          | 307 |
| 21.2 | Materials design   | 308 |
| 22.1 | Frequencies and percentages on each DoP level and per linguistic item          | 324 |
| 22.2 | Descriptive statistics for comprehension scores in percentages for the         |     |
|      | two experimental texts   | 324 |
| 22.3 | Descriptive statistics for condition on the immediate and delayed test         |     |
|      | scores in percentages for the Sentences and Fill-in-the-Blank assessment tasks | 325 |
| 22.4 | Descriptive statistics for type of linguistic items on the immediate and       |     |
|      | delayed test scores in percentages for the Sentences and Fill-in-the-Blank     | 22  |
| 22.1 | assessment tasks   | 326 |
| 23.1 | Raw number of reported awareness in different input conditions                 | 340 |
| 23.2 | Differences in SR and TGJ measures across groups                               | 341 |
| 23.3 | Differences in eye-tracking measures across groups                             | 341 |
| 24.1 | Treatment items in the jigsaw puzzle   | 351 |
| 24.2 | Range of DoP score   | 354 |

Tables

#### Tables

| 24.3 | Number and percentage of DoP levels by instructional condition                   | 355 |
|------|--|-----|
| 24.4 | Descriptive statistics for the assessment tests (maximum = 18)                   | 355 |
| 26.1 | Descriptive statistics for pre-, immediate, and delayed test scores in           |     |
|      | percentages for the interpretation, production, and translation assessment tasks | 382 |
| 27.1 | Four types of Chinese ba structures  | 394 |
| 27.2 | Item arrangement in e-tutors   | 396 |
| 27.3 | Comparison of e-tutors for GI and DI   | 398 |
| 27.4 | Descriptive statistics: All groups, tests, and items                             | 401 |
| 27.5 | Summary of cognitive processes by participant                                    | 404 |
| 28.1 | Example episodes   | 412 |
| 28.2 | Amount of interaction across two contexts  | 413 |
| 28.3 | Number of errors made and corrected across two contexts                          | 413 |
| 28.4 | CF techniques used across contexts   | 413 |
| 28.5 | Uptake across contexts   | 413 |
| 28.6 | Repair across CF types in two contexts   | 413 |
| 29.1 | Backward regression model predicting memory for exemplars                        | 428 |
| 29.2 | Backward regression model predicting memory for structures                       | 428 |
| 29.3 | Backward regression model predicting hypothesis testing                          | 429 |
| 29.4 | Backward regression model predicting rule formation                              | 430 |
| 29.5 | Relationships among individual differences, treatments, and aspects of           |     |
|      | deep processing  | 431 |
| 30.1 | Differential object marking scores in the implicit feedback group                | 445 |
| 30.2 | Gender agreement scores in the implicit feedback group                           | 446 |
| 30.3 | Differential object marking scores in the explicit feedback group                | 447 |
| 30.4 | Gender agreement scores in the explicit feedback group                           | 447 |
| 32.1 | Spearman correlations between WM score and attention                             | 474 |
|      |  |     |

#### **Contributors**

**Sergio Adrada-Rafael** is Assistant Professor of Spanish and Applied Linguistics at Fairfield University, where he also serves as the Director of the Spanish language program. His main research interests and publications encompass SLA, psycholinguistics, and L2 pedagogy.

Joe Barcroft is Professor of Spanish and Second Language Acquisition and Affiliate Professor of Psychological and Brain Sciences at Washington University in St. Louis. His research focuses on second language vocabulary learning, input processing, and psycholinguistics of second language acquisition. His publications include articles in *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, *Language Learning*, *Applied Linguistics*, and *Applied Psycholinguistics*, as well as the books *Input-Based Incremental Vocabulary Instruction* (2012) and *Lexical Input Processing and Vocabulary Learning* (2015).

**Joara Martin Bergsleithner** is Professor in the Institute of Letters at the University of Brasília, Brazil. Her research interests are on cognitive processes, noticing, depth of processing, attention, working memory, different kinds of instruction, L2 oral production, and SLA. Her published work includes articles, book chapters, and books (national and international) on the issues of cognition in L2 learning. She was a visiting scholar at the University of Hawai'i and a post-doc scholar at Georgetown University.

**Chrissy Bistline-Bonilla** (ABD) holds a B.A. in Spanish from Temple University (2011) and an M.S. in Spanish Linguistics from Georgetown University (2016). She is currently pursuing her Ph.D. in Spanish Linguistics at Georgetown, and her areas of interest include computer-assisted language learning, depth of processing, and heritage language learners.

**Melissa A. Bowles** is Associate Professor in the Department of Spanish and Portuguese and from 2011–2018 served as Director of the Second Language Acquisition and Teacher Education (SLATE) Ph.D. concentration at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. In her classroom second and heritage language acquisition research, she routinely integrates verbal reports to gain insight into learners' processing.

Allison Caras is Assistant Professor of Spanish in the Department of Romance, German, and Slavic Languages and Literatures at The George Washington University. She teaches Spanish language and Spanish applied linguistics. Her research interests include language teacher training, instructed language learning, task-based and task-supported curriculum design and development, written corrective feedback, and depth of processing.

**Lourdes Cerezo** is Associate Professor at the University of Murcia (Spain). Her main line of research is L2 writing and feedback processing, and her most recent publication in the field is a co-authored chapter in *The Handbook of Second and Foreign Language Writing* (Hyland, Nicolás-Conesa & Cerezo, 2016).

**HyunHye Choi** is a Ph.D. candidate at the Department of English Language and Literature at Sungkyunkwan University in Korea. Her main areas of interest are vocabulary acquisition in reading and the eye movements of language learners in reading. She has presented papers at conferences both home and abroad, and published an article with co-author Haemoon Lee, "The Effects of Contextualized vs. Decontextualized Exposure to Vocabulary on Korean Adult EFL Learners' Vocabulary Acquisition," in *The Journal of English Language and Literature* (2008).

Anna Chrabaszcz received her Ph.D. in Second Language Acquisition from the University of Maryland, where she studied language processing in second language learners. She subsequently worked as a senior research scientist at the Higher School of Economics, in Moscow, Russia. She is now a postdoctoral fellow at the University of Pittsburgh. Her main research interests lie in examining the neural correlates of speech perception and speech production in normative and non-normative populations of language users.

**Gabriela DeRobles** (ABD) received her B.A. in Spanish and Sociology from Regis University (2014) and her M.S. in Spanish Linguistics from Georgetown University (2016). She is currently a Ph.D. student in Spanish Linguistics at Georgetown. Gabriela's research interests include heritage language learners, written corrective feedback, and depth of processing.

**Stephen Doherty**'s research is based in the interaction among language, cognition, and technology. His current work investigates human and machine language processing with a focus on psycholinguistics and language technologies using a combination of natural language processing techniques, task performance and self-report measures, eye tracking, psychometrics, and electroencephalography.

**Angela Donate** is Visiting Assistant Professor of Spanish in the Hispanic Studies Department at Davidson College, NC, USA. Her areas of expertise include task-based language teaching (TBLT), language curriculum development, individual differences in second (L2) and foreign language (FL) performance, the role of affective factors in L2 development and learning, psycholinguistics, cognitive processes in language learning, and L2 writing.

**Marisa Filgueras-Gómez** is Assistant Professor of Spanish Applied Linguistics at Florida International University. Her main research interests include Instructed SLA, bilingualism, heritage language development and education, foreign language teacher education, and study abroad.

**Aline Godfroid** is Associate Professor in Second Language Studies at Michigan State University. Her primary research interests are in second language psycholinguistics, the teaching and learning of vocabulary, and quantitative research methodology. She co-directs the Second Language Studies Eye-Tracking Lab at Michigan State University.

**Kira Gor** is Associate Professor of Second Language Acquisition at the School of Languages, Literatures, and Cultures at the University of Maryland, where she teaches courses in the Graduate Program in Second Language Acquisition. Her research focuses on crosslinguistic phonetic

perception, the phonology-orthography interface, phonological and morphological processing in heritage and late learners of Russian, non-native lexical access, and the structure of the non-native mental lexicon.

**Gisela Granena** is Associate Professor at the Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (Spain). Her research interests include the role of cognitive aptitudes in naturalistic and instructed contexts, aptitude-treatment interactions, task-based language teaching (TBLT), measures of implicit and explicit language knowledge, and the effects of early and late bilingualism on long-term L2 achievement.

**Hortensia Gutiérrez** graduated with an M.A. in Linguistics from the Department of Linguistics in the University of Oregon in 2014. Previously, she got her B.A. in Education with a specialization in Natural Sciences, which allowed her to work as a physics teacher in a public high school in Chile; afterward, she moved to the U.S. and pursued a career in Linguistics. Currently, Hortensia is working on her doctoral studies at Georgetown University. Her interests include Sociolinguistics and Instructed SLA.

**Hui-Chen Hsieh** is Assistant Professor of Applied Linguistics in the Department of Foreign Language Instruction at Wenzao Ursuline University of Languages. Her areas of research interest include second language acquisition, psycholinguistics, attention and awareness in second language learning, computer-assisted language learning and teaching, English language teaching, and foreign language teacher training.

**Bimali Indrarathne** is a postdoctoral teaching fellow at King's College London, UK. Her main research interests are second language acquisition and language teacher education. She currently teaches both undergraduate and postgraduate courses at KCL while researching topics related to second language acquisition and pedagogy.

**Bernard I. Issa** is Assistant Professor of Spanish at the University of Tennessee-Knoxville. Bernard's research examines the processing and development of second language grammar in instructed and study abroad contexts. The NSF (Doctoral Dissertation Research Improvement Grant 1348964) and Language Learning funded this project.

**Scott Jarvis** is Professor of Linguistics at the University of Utah, where he is also currently serving as Department Chair. His current research interests include crosslinguistic influence (especially transfer methodology and conceptual transfer), lexical diversity, and vocabulary acquisition.

**Eva Kartchava** is Associate Professor of Applied Linguistics and TESL in the School of Linguistics and Language Studies at Carleton University, Canada. Her main research interest is to explore the processes involved in the acquisition of a second language in the classroom setting. Specifically, she is interested in and has published research on the relationship between corrective feedback and second language learning, noticeability of feedback, and the role of individual differences in the language learning process.

**Ok Yeon Kim** is a doctoral student in Applied Linguistics at Sogang University, South Korea. Her major areas of interest lie in second language acquisition and language learning in general, with particular interest in written corrective feedback and L2 processing.

**Haemoon Lee** is Professor of Applied Linguistics at Sungkyunkwan University in Seoul, Korea. Her research interests are interaction hypothesis, focus on form, and cognitive approaches to SLA including neurocognitive and psychological research. She has also served as the Dean of Translation and TESOL (2012–2013, 2018–) and President of the Applied Linguistics Association of Korea (ALAK; 2017–2018).

**James F. Lee** researches the second language acquisition of linguistic structures, particularly among early-stage classroom learners, and does so from a processing perspective. His recent work incorporates eye-tracking methodology to explore the processes and products associated with Processing Instruction.

**Ronald P. Leow** is Professor of Applied Linguistics and Director of Spanish Language Instruction in the Department of Spanish and Portuguese at Georgetown University. His areas of expertise include language curriculum development, teacher education, Instructed Language Learning, psycholinguistics, cognitive processes in language learning, research methodology, and CALL. Professor Leow has published extensively in prestigious journals and has co-edited several books. His book titled *Explicit Learning in the L2 Classroom: A Student-Centered Approach* (Routledge) was published in 2015.

**Fei Li** is a Lecturer at the School of Foreign Languages at the China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) in China. She spent one year as a visiting researcher at Georgetown University. Her research interests are in language teaching, meta-analysis, statistics, and individual differences in language learning.

**Shawn Loewen** is Professor in the Second Language Studies program at Michigan State University. His research interests include cognitive-interactionist approaches to second language acquisition, as well as quantitative research methodology. He is the author of numerous articles in these areas; additionally, his book, *Introduction to Instructed Second Language Acquisition* (Routledge), was published in 2015. His co-edited volume (with Masatoshi Sato), *The Routledge Handbook of Instructed Second Language Acquisition*, was published in 2017.

**Rosa M. Manchón** is Professor of Applied Linguistics at the University of Murcia, Spain. Her research interests and publications focus on cognitive aspects of instructed SLA and, especially, on second language writing. She has published extensively in the form of journal articles in the most prestigious journals, contributions to collective works, and edited and co-edited books of her own, published by Multilingual Matters, John Benjamins, and De Gruyter Mouton.

**Alexandra Martin** (Ph.D., Georgetown University) is Visiting Assistant Professor at the Department of Romance, German, and Slavic Languages and Literatures at The George Washington University, DC, USA. Her main research interests include heritage and second language learning in computerized environments, especially synchronous computer-mediated communication (SCMC). She is also interested in task-based language learning and teaching (TBLT) and curriculum design.

**Almitra Medina** is Assistant Professor of Spanish Linguistics at East Carolina University in North Carolina, USA. Specializing in adult-instructed second language acquisition and psycholinguistics, her research interests include cognitive processing, L2 reading and listening comprehension, vocabulary acquisition, and heritage-speaker development.

**Nina Moreno** is Associate Professor of Spanish at the University of South Carolina in the Department of Languages, Literatures, and Cultures, and a Core Faculty member of the Linguistics Program. Her areas of expertise include second language acquisition (SLA), applied linguistics, computer-assisted language learning (CALL), and teacher education. She has published in peer-reviewed journals such as *Language Learning*, *Foreign Language Annals*, and *CALICO*, and co-authored *Introducción a la lingüística hispánica actual: Teoría y práctica* by Routledge (2017).

**Kimi Nakatsukasa** is Assistant Professor of Applied Linguistics and Second Language Studies at Texas Tech University. She teaches various graduate courses in second language acquisition, non-verbal features and language teaching and learning, individual differences, task-based language teaching, instructed second language acquisition, and research methods. Her research interests include gestures and language learning, individual differences, and corrective feedback.

Hossein Nassaji is Professor of Applied Linguistics in the Department of Linguistics at the University of Victoria, Victoria, BC. He has authored or co-authored numerous publications in the areas of second language teaching and learning. His recent books include Corrective Feedback in Second Language Teaching and Learning (2017, Routledge, with Eva Kartchava) and Interactional Feedback Dimension in Instructed Second Language Learning (2015, Bloomsbury). His forthcoming book is The Cambridge Handbook of Corrective Feedback in Language Learning and Teaching (Cambridge University Press, with Eva Kartchava).

**Florentina Nicolás-Conesa** is Assistant Professor at the University of Murcia, Spain. Her doctoral dissertation received the best doctoral thesis award by the Spanish Society for Applied Linguistics. Her research interests focus on cognitive aspects of SLA and, especially, on second language writing and bilingualism. She has published her work in international peer-reviewed journals such as the *Journal of Second Language Writing* and the *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*.

**Mina Niu** (M.S., Applied Linguistics, Georgetown University) is a Language Testing Specialist at the Center for Applied Linguistics. Besides her test development work, she has research interests including second language acquisition and language assessment.

**Torri Raines** holds an M.A. in Applied Linguistics from Ohio University with a concentration in corpus linguistics and computational linguistics. She has presented her research at multiple major academic conferences. She plans to eventually earn a Ph.D. in computational linguistics.

**John Rogers** (Ph.D., University College London) is Assistant Professor in the Department of English Language Education at the Education University of Hong Kong. His research interests center on the cognitive mechanisms that underlie second language acquisition, including the roles of attention and awareness in learning, and issues related to task-based language teaching.

**Rebecca Sachs** is Associate Professor and Chair of the Master's programs in TESOL and Applied Linguistics at Virginia International University, where she teaches courses in linguistics, language acquisition, curriculum and materials design, pedagogical grammar, individual differences, and research methods, as well as the TESOL practicum. Her research interests include aptitude-treatment interactions, language awareness, implicit learning, feedback, task complexity, and research methods in linguistics and language acquisition.

**Nuria Sagarra** (Ph.D., University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign) investigates how adult second language learners and heritage speakers process morphosyntactic and syntactic information, as well as how they anticipate morphological and lexical information based on acoustic cues. In particular, she focuses on the role of language experience (transfer, proficiency), linguistic characteristics (e.g., markedness, saliency), and cognitive individual differences (e.g., working memory). She explores these issues using online behavioral techniques (eye tracking, moving windows paradigm).

**Paula Schaefer** holds an M.A. in Applied Linguistics from Ohio University. Her specializations include online course development, distance learning, and ESP. Paula has contributed to a variety of research, which she plans to continue while working in TESOL and distance education.

**Ellen J. Serafini** is Assistant Professor of Spanish Applied Linguistics in the Department of Modern and Classical Languages at George Mason University. Her research interests include the role of various affective and cognitive factors in different language learning settings, including second language, heritage language, immersion, and language for specialized purpose contexts.

**Olga Sormaz** received her Master's in Applied Linguistics from Ohio University in 2018. She has presented at a few notable academic conferences. Her research interests are a combination of sociolinguistics, second language variation, and assessment.

**Eun Sung Park** is Professor in the Department of English Literature and Linguistics at Sogang University, South Korea. Her research interests include L2 input processing and the interface of theory and practice in language learning and teaching. Her recent work includes articles in *Applied Linguistics, Language Learning*, and *Language Teaching Research*. She also co-edited (with Bernard Spolsky) *English Education at the Tertiary Level in Asia: From Policy to Practice* (2017, Routledge).

**Anne Thinglum** (Ph.D., Georgetown University) is Assistant Professor of Teaching in the Department of Spanish and Portuguese at Georgetown University. Her research interests include depth of processing, intake, and awareness in ISLA.

**Yiran Xu** is a third-year Ph.D. student in Applied Linguistics at Georgetown University. Her areas of interest include study abroad, L2 writing, systemic functional linguistics, language assessment, research method, and corpus linguistics. She has presented her work at several major L2 conferences, such as the American Association for Applied Linguistics and the Second Language Research Forum. She also has an upcoming publication in *Language and Education*.

**Yucel Yilmaz** is Associate Professor of Second Language Studies at Indiana University. His research focuses on second language interaction and corrective feedback, computer-mediated communication, task-based language teaching, individual differences in second language acquisition, and explicit and implicit learning processes.

**Jingyuan Zhuang** is currently a Ph.D. candidate in Applied Linguistics at The Pennsylvania State University. She received her M.S. in Linguistics (with a concentration in Applied Linguistics) from Georgetown University. Her research interests include second language acquisition, computer-mediated language learning, and bilingualism.

#### **Classroom Learning**

#### Of Processing and Processes

Ronald P. Leow

#### How Do L2 Learners Learn the L2?

Both educators and researchers alike are usually confounded by the differential performances of second/foreign language (L2) learners who are exposed to the same L2 information in the instructed setting. One potential explanation may lie in *how* L2 learners process the L2 information or how deeply L2 data are cognitively processed, usually subsumed under the notion of depth of processing (DoP). Depth of processing is defined as:

the relative amount of cognitive effort, level of analysis, and elaboration of intake, together with the usage of prior knowledge, hypothesis testing, and rule formation employed in decoding and encoding some grammatical or lexical item in the input.

Leow, 2015, p. 204

DoP has also been operationalized in the literature (Leow, 2015, pp. 227–228) based on type of linguistic item (Table 1.1 for grammatical and Table 1.2 for lexical) and assumed amount of cognitive effort expended during the stages of input, intake, and knowledge processing.

Recent definitions of the strand of classroom-based research, currently subsumed under instructed second language acquisition (ISLA), have centralized the main thrust of this strand on the cognitive processes L2 learners employ while exposed to or interacting with the L2. ISLA has been defined as

a theoretically and empirically based field of academic inquiry that aims to understand how the systematic manipulation of the mechanisms of learning and/or the conditions under which they occur enable or facilitate the development and acquisition of a language other than one's own.

Loewen, 2015, p. 2

Probing how L2 learners process L2 data and manipulating such processes in learning conditions are clearly theoretical, empirical, and pedagogical issues that fall neatly into the recent definitions of ISLA.

Table 1.1 Operationalization of depth of processing (DoP): Lexical items

| Level 1     |  | Level 2  | Level 3   |
|-------------|--|--|---|
|             | Low depth of processing  | Medium depth of processing   | High depth of processing  |
| Description | Shows no potential for<br>emerging form-meaning<br>connection  | Provides some evidence of processing target item   | Provides evidence of making accurate form-meaning connection  |
| Descriptors | Reads target quickly Translates the phrase to English but leaves the target in Spanish Says s/he isn't sure what it is Says s/he will click something Repeats the target item Carefully pronounces target word Does not spend much time processing target item Low level of cognitive effort to get meaning of target item | Spends a bit more time processing target item Makes a comment that indicates some processing of target item Some level of cognitive effort to get meaning of target item | Spends time processing target item Provides an accurate translation of target item or finds a different way to say almost the same thing High level of cognitive effort to get meaning of target item |

Source: Leow, 2015, pp. 227-228

Table 1.2 Operationalization of depth of processing (DoP): Grammatical items

| Level of<br>awareness | Low depth of processing<br>Noticing  | Medium depth of processing Reporting  | High depth of processing +<br>Understanding (based on accuracy<br>of underlying rule or form-meaning<br>connection)  |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Description           | Shows no potential for processing target form grammatically  | Comments on target item in relation to grammatical features   | Arrives at an inaccurate, partially accurate, or fully accurate target underlying grammatical rule   |
| Descriptors           | Reads target quickly Translates the phrase to English but leaves the target in Spanish Carefully pronounces target item Repeats target item Says s/he isn't sure what it is Does not spend much time processing target item Low level of cognitive effort to process target item grammatically | Spends a bit more time processing target item Makes comments that indicate some processing of target item Some level of cognitive effort to process target item grammatically | Makes hypotheses regarding target item Provides an inaccurate, accurate and/or partially accurate rule Corrects previous translation Spends much time processing target item High level of cognitive effort to process target item grammatically |

Source: Leow, 2015, p. 228